

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to The United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below:
Date Of Signature And Transmission: 3/3/04 Jean C. Baker
Jean C. Baker, Reg. No. 35,433

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

TO: Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Telecopy No. (571) 273-0730

FROM: Jean C. Baker, Esq.
Reg. No. 35,433
Attorney For Applicant
Quarles & Brady LLP
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
Telephone No. (414) 277-5709
Telecopy No. (414) 271-3552

IN CASE OF PROBLEM, CALL (414) 277-5744

DATE: March 3, 2004

Applicants: David I. Watkins, et al.
Serial No.: 09/869,753
Filed: December 17, 2001
For: VACCINATION METHOD FOR EFFICIENT INDUCTION OF
CYTOTOXIC T LYMPHOCYTE RESPONSE
Art Unit: 1632
Examiner: Q. Li

Client Matter No: 960296.95874
Job Code:
N . Pages: 3

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to The United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below
Date Of Signature And Transmittal: 3/3/04 Jean C. Baker
Jean C. Baker, Reg. No. 35,433

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.: 09/869,753 Client Docket No.: P99079US
Applicants: David I. Watkins, et al.
Filed: December 17, 2001
Title: VACCINATION METHOD FOR EFFICIENT INDUCTION OF
CYTOTOXIC T LYMPHOCYTE RESPONSE
TC/A.U.: 1632
Examiner: Q. Li
Docket No.: 960296.95874

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW AGENDA

Sir:

Examiner Q. Li has asked Applicants to transmit an agenda for a brief telephonic interview planned for March 4, 2004.

Applicants' attorney, Jean C. Baker, and Inventor David Watkins wish to briefly discuss the currently outstanding Office Action with Examiner Li.

Applicants' agenda is as follows:

- (1) Discussion of sequence submission in light of loss of Sequence Listing by PCT Office.
- (2) Brief discussion of double patenting rejections. Applicants intend to offer to cancel claim 1.
- (3) Discussion of 35 U.S.C. § 102 issue. Applicants believe that cancellation of claim 1 will render this issue moot.


- (4) Discussion of 35 U.S.C. § 103 issue. Applicants assert that the Examiner's cited references, Fuller, et al., Hanke, et al., Borgne, et al. and Loktev, et al. do not combine to make the currently amended claims obvious. Inventor David Watkins would like to speak to the Examiner on this issue.

We expect to call Examiner Li at 3:00 p.m. her time and thank her in advance for the courtesy of her time.

No fee is believed necessary to enter these remarks. If a fee is necessary, please charge Deposit Account 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,

David I. Watkins, et al.

By: 
Jean C. Baker
Quarles & Brady LLP
Reg. No. 35,433
Attorney for Applicant
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497
414/277-5709